Education 470 Capstone Information

1. What IS the capstone?

The capstone is a culminating experience that takes place at the end of the course, providing the student with an opportunity to apply theory within an actual classroom. The capstone is designed to extend the learning process via actual implementation, assessment, and reflection. The capstone was developed in response to former student teachers' feedback that they needed more practice time prior to student teaching to build confidence and teaching skills.

2. Where does the capstone take place?

The student selects the site and the cooperating teacher who will serve as mentor. A body of research indicates that a self-selected mentor will be more effective for the student's learning than one assigned to the student. The capstone experience takes place in the mentor teacher's classroom.

3. Who may be a mentor teacher?

Any certified teacher in a private or public school may serve as mentor.

4. How long is the capstone?

The capstone is designed to be a consecutive three-day experience; this configuration provides the student with the opportunity to modify lesson plans based on time constraints and students needs.

5. When does the student do the capstone?

Ideally, the capstone will be done right after the course ends (during Christmas break for first semester students and during May for second semester students). It will be to the student's advantage to wait until all of the course has been taught. However, if these times do not work for the student, the professor will work with the student to ascertain an appropriate time.

6. What subjects are taught?

The student may teach the subject of the student's choice; however, it is expected that the student will include the multiple literacies discussed in class within the student's capstone unit.

7. Which factors are considered in developing the three-day plan?

Working collaboratively with the mentor teacher, the Educ 470 student develops a unit of study within the parameters of given standards, scope and sequence, time constraints, etc. The Educ 470 student is a "guest" within the mentor's classroom; therefore, the student must cooperate with the cooperating teacher in developing the capstone experience.

8. When does the student construct the lesson plans for this teaching experience?

The capstone preparation is not in addition to the student's regular assignments; it **is** the student's regular assignment! The professor will assist the student in developing appropriate lesson plans <u>throughout</u> the semester. For example, once the vocabulary unit has been taught, the student will then develop a vocabulary lesson plan for the student's capstone experience. In this way, the student's learning is purposeful and authentic. The Educ 470 student will be teaching specific students rather than preparing a lesson plan for hypothetical students. The professor will review the student's plans prior to the capstone and provide the student with "coach's" comments.

9. What is the role of process writing (writing workshop) within the course?

Educ 470 has been designated as a **writing intensive course**. The writing workshop approach is utilized throughout the semester. The process consists of direct instruction, modeling, and guided practice by the professor, followed by writing of a lesson plan incorporating the strategy that was taught. The rough draft is reviewed by the professor and/or peers and revised until it is of superior quality. Blackboard is extensively used for this submission, review, and revision process.

<u>10. Why is Understanding by Design incorporated into the capstone experience?</u>

Understanding by Design (Wiggins & McTighe, 1998) is also known as "backward design". Understanding by Design is highly endorsed by ASCD and other professional education organizations as "best practice". The process involves identification of the standard(s), the "Big Ideas" and "Essential Questions", as well as foundational knowledge and skills. The student then develops a performance task in which the adolescent learner documents true understanding (application). The Common Core also emphasizes performance tasks and authentication application, thus reinforcing what has been the practice since the course was developed.

A general description of the Understanding by Design philosophy is available at: <u>http://www.authenticeducation.org/ubd/ubd.lasso</u>

11. What does the capstone unit plan consist of?

It is impossible to prescribe one template for all experiences due to the unique characteristics of each context. However, most of the components listed below should be included:

- Content area
- Theme or title of unit
- Grade level
- Background information about students
- Name of mentor teacher
- The standards, big ideas, essential questions and performance task (Understanding by Design)
- Assessment of prior knowledge
- The "Hook", initiating activity
- At least 3 lesson plans that include a goal, objectives, materials, procedure (with time allotments), assessment, assignment, reflection, and accommodations
- Before, during, and after reading comprehension strategies
- Introduction, teaching, reinforcement, and assessment of vocabulary
- Evidence of methods designed to foster student participation and engagement
- Culminating activity
- Variety of texts or teaching materials
- Use of technology
- Informal or formal assessment
- Connections to literature and the language arts
- List of resources

12. How is the capstone evaluated?

The capstone is evaluated by the **professor**, the preservice teacher, the mentor (cooperating) teacher, and the students at the site.

The **professor** evaluates the unit prior to the ending of the course. At that point in time, the unit should be of **outstanding quality,** given the fact that the student and professor have revisited the documents multiple times. The professor

evaluates the capstone process and product by using a criteria sheet (see **Exhibit A)**. The capstone is designed to be a non-threatening, supportive way for the student to grow prior to student teaching. The student's grade is not impacted by the success or "failure" of teaching of the capstone. However, if for some reason the student does not do the capstone, the student will not have sufficient field experience hours to enter student teaching.

<u>During the capstone</u>, the **Educ 470 student** writes anecdotal notes on the lesson plans and video-tapes himself/herself during a direct instruction segment. The preservice teacher uses a video evaluation tool developed by the university to professionally reflect on his/her teaching (see **Exhibit B)**. The **mentor teacher** will also provide feedback to the student during the entire three-day unit.

<u>After the capstone</u>, the **student** will fill out a reflection questionnaire supplied by the university professor. The reflection is both holistic and analytic in nature (see **Exhibit C**). Insights derived from the video evaluation should be reflected in the final student reflection. The **mentor teacher** completes a summative evaluation (see **Exhibit D**).

In addition, the preservice teacher asks **his/her students** to complete a brief survey evaluating the teaching of the unit.

The student reflection, log of hours, and final mentor evaluation are submitted to the Field Experience Office at the conclusion of the capstone.

13. Which data are examined in order to evaluate the capstone, thus serving as the basis for refinement?

The following data/artifacts are gathered, examined, and evaluated in order to refine the course and the capstone experience:

Educ 470 student:

- Reflection document
- Log of hours
- End-of-course evaluations

Students at capstone site:

• Evaluation of the unit (survey given by Educ 470 student)

Mentor teacher:

- Evaluation (long form)
- Anecdotal observations, communication

University professor:

- Units assessed according to criteria sheet
- Professional observation of the writing workshop process

• Examination of the reflection document submitted by the Educ 470 student

Field experience director:

• Examination of the student's reflection document and the coop's evaluation

The university professor and the field experience director work collaboratively and frequently to refine the capstone process and product.

Exhibit A

Capstone Criteria Sheet Capstone Scoring Criteria Sheet

Name of Concordia Student Teacher _____

Congratulations on completing your unit! It has been a joy to watch your progress during the writing workshop. The days spent in class, in individual conference, and in independent writing have been productive! Extensive feedback was provided to you during the process. The purpose of this summative evaluation is to evaluate the final project. Points are earned in the following areas:

/25	Provided background of class	
/25	Stage 1	
/25	Stage 2	
/25	Rubric	
/50	Hooks	
/300	3 complete long form lesson plans that include:	
Grading crite	ria for lesson plan #1:	
Standar	rd—5 points	
Goal—s	5 points	
Objective	es—10 points	
Materia	als—5 points	
Procedure (with time allotments)—40 points		
Assessment (what will be assessed and how)—10 points		
Self-eval	uation (record what you will be intentionally looking for)—10 points	
Assignn	nent—5 points	
Accomm	odations—10 points	

Grading criteria for lesson plan #2:

_____Standard—5 points

____Goal—5 points

____Objectives—10 points

- _____Materials—5 points
- _____Procedure (with time allotments)—40 points
- _____Assessment (what will be assessed and how)—10 points
- _____Self-evaluation (record what you will be intentionally looking for)—10 points
- _____Assignment—5 points
- ____Accommodations—10 points

Grading criteria for lesson plan #3:

____Standard—5 points

- ____Goal—5 points
- ____Objectives—10 points
- _____Materials—5 points
- Procedure (with time allotments)—40 points
- _____Assessment (what will be assessed and how)—10 points
- _____Self-evaluation (record what you will be intentionally looking for)—10 points
- ____Assignment—5 points
- ____Accommodations—10 points
- ____/50 Comprehension strategy
- ____/50 Vocabulary strategy
- ____/40 List of resources and artifacts

Total:

Total Possible: 590 points

University professor comments:

Exhibit B Video Self-Evaluation CONCORDIA NEBRASKA

Video Self-Evaluation

Name	Date of Class
Subject	Grade Level
High Low 5 4 3 2 1 NA	Instruction: Interaction with Students
	1. Gives clear, easily understood feedback to students.
	2. Avoids embarrassing students and giving negative criticism.
	3. Corrections are direct and related to the task or behavior.
	4. Consistently assesses student learning, asks questions.
	5. Emphasis is on positive reinforcement, good behavior.
	6. Maintains an awareness of each student's learning processes.
	7. Seeks student participation, uses their ideas, comments.
	8. Provides opportunity for expression of student opinions.
	9. Gives frequent and sincere praise.
Comments	
High Low 5 4 3 2 1 NA	Instruction: Intellectual Stimulation
	1. Teacher projects a command of the subject matter.
	2. Answers to student questions are clear and unambiguous.
	3. Teacher asks questions at a variety of cognitive levels.
	4. Teaching includes activities beyond just "teacher-talk."
Comments	
High Low 5 4 3 2 1 NA	Instruction: Discipline
	1. Attention of students is gained, held when teaching.
	2. Students participate in activities, stay on task.
	3. Emphasis placed on self-discipline, responsibility.
	4. Consistently monitors student behavior.

		5.	Deals with students in a fair, consistent manner
--	--	----	--

Comments

High Low	
5 4 3 2 1 NA	Instruction: Student Evaluation
	1. Teacher utilizes ongoing assessment during instruction.
	2. Appropriate assistance offered to students when needed.
	3. Evaluations are objective and authentic.
Comments	
High Low 5 4 3 2 1 NA	Learning Environment: Teacher Presence
	1. Displays self-confidence.
	2. Consistently monitors progress of students.
	3. Personal appearance is appropriate: posture, grooming, etc.
	4. Speaks in a clear, easily understood voice, no over-used phrases.
Comments	
High Low	
5 4 3 2 1 NA	Learning Environment: Organization
	1. Lesson has a clear and logical structure.
	2. Materials for students are appropriate and well prepared.
	3. Objectives and expectations are clearly stated.
	4. Directions are clear and unambiguous.
	5. Uses good grammar, spelling.
Comments	
High Low 5 4 3 2 1 NA	Learning Environment: Teacher Enthusiasm
	1. Teacher is energetic, enthused and involved.
	 Teacher enjoys the content and process of the lesson.
	2. reacher enjoys the content and process of the tessoli.



- 3. Displays personal interest in the lesson, makes it interesting.
- 4. Has an appropriate, positive sense of humor.

Comments

Do you have any general comments about watching yourself teach?

Exhibit C Educ 470 Student Reflection <u>Capstone Reflection</u>

Name of Preservice Teacher	
Name of Mentor Teacher	
Email of Mentor Teacher	
Dates of Capstone	Grade(s) Taught
Location	
Subjects Taught	

Brief Summary

Present a holistic description of your Capstone experience. Include a profile of your students so that the university supervisor has a better understanding of the context.

Analytical Reflection

Please reflect on the components listed below. Note strengths as well as modifications for the future.

- 1. Hook
- 2. Tapping into prior knowledge
- 3. Setting a purpose
- 4. Vocabulary strategy
- 5. Comprehension strategy
- 6. Methodology
- 7. Organization of lesson plans
- 8. Assessment
- 9. Class management
- 10. Pacing

Holistic Reflection

What did you learn as a result of this experience? How has this experience impacted your perception of self as a teacher?

Exhibit D

Mentor Evaluation of Educ 470 Student/Capstone

Please skip any items which you feel do not	apply to this field	avpariance assignment	
Concordia Student Name		Course	
University Instructor Semester/Year			
The Concordia student			
1Was punctual.	5 4	2	
2Presented a professional appearance.	5 1	Always punctual	Late 1 or 2 times Late
1	Always Appropriate	Sometimes inappropriate	Frequently inappropriate
3Exhibited professional behavior.	5 4	2	
4Was respectful of teacher and students.	Always 5 4	Sometimes 3 2	Frequently profe
1	Always	Sometimes	Frequently
5Came prepared for assigned tasks.	respectful 5 4	inappropriate 3 2	disrespectful
	Consistently prepared	Ill-prepared on several occasions	Regularly unprepared
6Completes assigned tasks.	5 4	2	
	Consistently	1 or 2 tasks not	Regularly failed comp
7Demonstrated effective presentation skills.	5 4	2	
	Always prepared with useful materials	Good intentions, but not always effective	Ineffective, unskilled
8Managed student behavior appropriately.	5 4	3 2	
	Demonstrated substantial skills in this area	Needs to develop effective strategies	Did not have control
9Knew the content being presented.	5 4	2	
	Well-grounded in content area	Needs more study ahead of time	Tried to "wing it" too often
10Demonstrated appropriate rapport.	5 4	2	
	Knows appropriate	Needs work	Doesn't understand

	Excellent involvement of all students	Focused on a few students	Did not involve students
12Used a variety of instructional strategies.	5 4		
	Used appropriate and	Was good at only one	Was not effective varied
13Shared values/faith with students.	5 4		
	Used appropriate opportunities to share	Hesitant to share values/faith w/ students	Did not do this at all
14Able to reflect on his/her performance.	5 2		
	Showed a clear understanding of self	Occasionally made excuses for actions	Rejected suggestions
15Exhibited potential to be a good teacher.	5 4		
	Excellent	Good potential	Should consider a potent
16. I would welcome this teacher-aide as my	5 4		
child's teacher.	Without reservation	Likely, with continued growth	Definitely not
Teacher's Name (Please Print)	Signature	Date	
Please use the space on reverse for additi	onal comments.		