## **CORE COURSE GOALS and ASSESSMENT ARTIFACTS**

CORE COURSES	EDUC. 501 CONTEMPORARY THOUGHT IN EDUCATION	PSY. 511 PSYCHOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF TEACHING AND LEARNING	EDUC. 594 RESEARCH EVALUATION AND DESIGN	SOC. 565 SERVING AND LEADING IN COMMUNITY AND WORLD
Graduate Core Course Outcomes	ARTIFACTS: VITA Philosophy of education	ARTIFACT: Comprehensive Course Project	ARTIFACT: Research Plan	ARTIFACT: Application Paper
1. Demonstrate ability to complete a program of advanced study and a commitment to continuous, self-directed professional growth InTASC 5,9&10 LR-S1, LR-D1, LD-S3,LD-S1 EXPECTATIONS:  1st Set (3/2/2015-12/18/15) M= 1.5  2nd Set (1/1/2016-8/31/2016)  3rd Set (8/29/2016-12/31/2016)	VITA InTASC 9&10 LR-S1, LR-D1, LD-S1  Set 1 Philosophy M=2.71 N=51 Bg= 6% Dev. =38% Adv.= 41% Pro. = 18%  Set 2 Philosophy M=3.12 N=33 Bg= 3% Dev. =6% Adv.= 67% Pro. = 24%  Set 2 Vita M=3.5 N= 76 Bg=0% Dev.=16% Adv.=18% Pro.=66%	Comprehensive Course Project  InTASC 5, 9 & 10 LR-S1, LR-D1, LD-S3  Set 2 M=2.69 N= 16 Bg=6% Dev.=31% Adv.=50% Pro.=13%  Set 3 M=3.2 N=10 Bg= 0% Dev. = 10% Adv.= 60% Pro. = 30%		Application Paper InTASC 5, 9 & 10 LR-S1, LR-D1

	Set 3 Philosophy M=2.67 N=9 Bg= 0% Dev. =44% Adv.= 44% Pro. = 11%  Set 3 Vita M=2.70 N=10 Bg= 10% Dev. =40%		
2. <u>Demonstrate growth</u>	Adv.= 20% Pro. = 30%	Comprehensive Course	Application Paper
in ability to minister to those with whom they work, recognizing each individual's unique potential because of God's		Project InTASC 1,2&5 T-K1,LD-S2, LD-S3	InTASC 2 T-D1, T-D2, LD-D1, LR-D1 (dispositions)
creative and redemptive acts; InTASC 1,2&5 T-K1,LD-S2, LD-S3		Set 2: M=2.25 N= 16 Bg=19% Dev.=44%	
EXPECTATIONS: 1st Set (3/2/2015- 12/18/15)		Adv.=31% Pro.=6% Set 3	
M=1.5  2nd Set (1/1/2016-		M=1.2 N=10 Bg= 0%	
8/31/2016) 3 <sup>rd</sup> Set (8/29/2016- 12/31/2016)		Dev. =10% Adv.= 80% Pro. = 10%	

_	T	T	T	
3. Demonstrate growth				Application Paper
in their understanding				
of the knowledge base				InTASC 7
of teaching,				T-S2
leading, and learning;				
InTASC 6,7,8				
T-S1, T-S2, T-S3, T-K1				
1 01, 1 02, 1 00, 1 11				
EXPECTATIONS:				
EXI ESTATIONS:				
1st Set (3/2/2015)				
2nd Set (1/1/2016-				
8/31/2016)				
4. Demonstrate	Philosophy of Education	Comprehensive Course		
understanding of the	InTASC 1,5	<u>Project</u>		
history and philosophy	T-K1			
of education;		InTASC 3		
InTASC 1		T-S4		
T-K1	Set 1:			
	Set 1 Philosophy			
EXPECTATIONS:	M=1.96	Set 2:		
	N= 51	M=1.85		
1st Set (3/2/2015-	Bg=24%	N= 13		
12/18/15)	Dev.=59%	Bg=38%		
M=1.5	Adv.=16%	Dev.=38%		
IM - 1.5	Pro.=2%	Adv.=23%		
2nd Set (1/1/2016)	P10.=2%			
2nd Set (1/1/2016-		Pro.=0%		
8/31/16)				
ord 0 (0 (00 (00 (0		Set 3		
3 <sup>rd</sup> Set (8/29/2016-	Set 2: Philosophy:	M=3.0		
12/31/2016)	M=2.67	N=10		
	N= 33	Bg= 0%		
	Bg=3%	Dev. =10%		
	Dev.=39%	Adv.= 80%		
	Adv.=45%	Pro. = 10%		
	Pro.=12%			
	Set 3 Philosophy			
	M=2.67			
	N=9			
	Bg= 0%			
	Dev. =33%			
	Adv.= 67%			
	Auv.= 0/%			

5. Demonstrate an understanding of the significance and complexities of educational Research InTASC 4 LD-K1  Set 1 InTASC 4 LD-K1  Set 1 M=2.38 N=43 Bg= 19% EXPECTATIONS:  1st Set (3/2/2015-12/18/15) M=1.5  Set 2
understanding of the significance and complexities of educational Research InTASC 4 LD-K1  Set 1 M=2.38 LD-K1  EXPECTATIONS:  EXPECTATIONS:  Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19% Pro.=19%  Pro.=19%  Set 2
understanding of the significance and complexities of educational Research InTASC 4 LD-K1  Set 1 M=2.38 LD-K1  EXPECTATIONS:  Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19% Pro.=19%  Set 2
understanding of the significance and complexities of educational Research InTASC 4 LD-K1  Set 1 M=2.38 LD-K1  EXPECTATIONS:  EXPECTATIONS:  Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19% Pro.=19%  Pro.=19%  Set 2
International   Internationa
educational         Research         InTASC 4         LD-K1         EXPECTATIONS:         Dev.= 43%         Adv.= 19%         Pro.=19%         M=1.5    Set 1  M=2.38  N=43  Bg= 19%  Adv.= 43%  Adv.= 19%  Pro.=19%  Set 2
Research       Set 1         InTASC 4       M=2.38         LD-K1       N= 43         Bg= 19%       Dev.= 43%         Adv.= 19%       Adv.= 19%         12/18/15)       Pro.=19%         M=1.5       Set 2
InTASC 4 LD-K1  EXPECTATIONS:  M=2.38 N= 43 Bg= 19% Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19% Pro.=19%  1st Set (3/2/2015- 12/18/15) M=1.5  Set 2
LD-K1  EXPECTATIONS:  Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19%  1st Set (3/2/2015- 12/18/15)  M=1.5  N= 43  Bg= 19%  Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19%  Pro.=19%  Set 2
EXPECTATIONS:  Bg= 19% Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19% Pro.=19%  12/18/15) M=1.5  Set 2
EXPECTATIONS:  Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19%  1st Set (3/2/2015- 12/18/15)  M=1.5  Dev.= 43% Adv.= 19% Pro.=19%  Set 2
1st Set (3/2/2015- 12/18/15) M=1.5
12/18/15) M=1.5 Set 2
M=1.5 Set 2
M=2.74
M=2.74 2nd Set (1/1/2016-
8/31/2016) Bg=4%
Dev.=39%
3 <sup>rd</sup> Set (8/29/2016- Adv.=35%
12/31/2016) Pro.=22%
Set 3
M=2.5
N=10
Bg= 10%
Dev. =50%
Adv.= 20%
Pro. = 20%
6. Demonstrate the Application Paper
ability and commitment
to implement a InTASC 3
Christian educational T-D1, T-D2 (dispositions)
world view that
transcends human
disadvantages, disabilities and cultural,
sexual and social
biases;
InTASC 3

T-D1, T-D2,T-S4	T	1		
1-01, 1-02,1-34				
EXPECTATIONS:				
1st Set (3/2/2015)				
2nd Set (1/1/2016- 8/31/2016)				
3rd Set				
7. Demonstrate an	Philosophy of Education			
appreciation for the	InTASC 10			Application Paper
place and contribution	LD-S1			
of school and church to				InTASC 10
society; and	Set 1:			LD-S1
InTASC 10	M=1.98			
LD-S1	N=51			
EXPECTATIONS:	Bg=25% Dev.=57%			
EXPECTATIONS.	Adv.=12%			
1st Set (3/2/2015)	Pro.=6%			
100 000 (0/2/2010)				
2nd Set (1/1/2016- 8/31/2016)	Set 2: M=2.61 N= 33			
3rd Set (8/29/2016-	Bg=3%			
12/31/2016)	Dev.=45%			
	Adv.=39%			
	Pro.=12%			
	Set 3			
	M=2.11			
	N=9			
	Bg= 33%			
	Dev. =33%			
	Adv.= 22%			
	Pro. = 11%			
8. Demonstrate growth			Research Plan	Application Paper
in strengthening a			InTASC 4	
professional need or			LD-K1	InTASC 4
interest area.				LR-K1
InTASC 4				

LR-K1 EXPECTATIONS:  1st Set (3/2/2015- 12/18/15) M 1.5		Set 1: M= 2.4 N=43 Bg.= 21% Dev.=36% Adv.=24% Pro.=19%	
2nd Set (1/1/2016- 8/31/2016) 3 <sup>rd</sup> Set (8/29/2016- 12/31/2016)		Set 2: M=2.78 N= 23 Bg=4% Dev.=35% Adv.=39% Pro.=22%	
		Set 3 M=2.9 N=10 Bg= 0% Dev. =50% Adv.= 10% Pro. = 40%	

CGS Assessment Framework Jpreuss Fall, 2013 Revised Summer, 2014, Revised Fall, 2014

## Data Results:

January 31, 2016 (C&W charts by outcomes)

July 31, 2016 (C&W charts by outcomes)

## Plan of Action:

It looks like the assignments are meeting the outcomes for all courses. This was the first set I assessed instead of Judy so some of the changes in number are probably from that. Before making any major decisions I would let Mark assess a couple of sets in order to establish some baseline values again.

**Budget Implications:** 

None