

2021– 22 Alternative Delivery Executive Summary

Submit to the BlackBoard Assessment Site.

Department: Theology, Philosophy, and Biblical Languages Date: June 1, 2022 Course(s): Rel 121 Alternative Format(s) – select as many as are applicable: Dual Credit Select Select
Members (must include more than course instructor only) involved with analysis of artifacts: Paul Deterding and Paul Holtorf
See Alternative Delivery Assessment Plan for: a) <i>Course requirement evaluation</i> ; b) <i>Student Outcome</i> ; c) <i>Question(s)</i> ; e) <i>Methodology</i>
Analysis of artifacts: 1). Student Outcome: PERFORMANCE CRITERIA* - <i>How was data analyzed? (attach rubrics/scoring tools if used).</i> Means will be derived from both the traditional and alternative courses and a t-test will be conducted between the traditional course and the alternative course based on the final exam scores. 2). COMPARABILITY – <i>How did you determine if the outcomes of the traditional and alternative delivery modes were comparable? (note “na” if delivery modes were not compared).</i> NA
Summary of RESULTS*: 1). <i>Restate the assessment question(s) (from the Assessment plan):</i> 1. Can the student demonstrate a knowledge of what the Old Testament concept of messiah is, what the term means and how the term is expressed in Hebrew and Greek? 2. Can the student demonstrate the development of the messianic theme using Old Testament book and chapter references, and by showing how both David (a believer) and Cyrus (an unbeliever) can each be called messiah? 3. Can the student demonstrate how the New Testament presents Jesus as the fulfillment of the Old Testament concept of Messiah? 2). <i>Summarize the assessment results. A narrative summary is required. Charts, tables or graphs are encouraged but optional.</i> DC, N=6; CUNE, N=68; DC mean=79.17; CUNE mean=93; SD, DC, 19.42; CUNE, .06559 3). INTERPRETATION* - <i>Discuss how the results answer the assessment question(s).</i> The department's goal is to see no difference between the dual credit offering and the CUNE offering. By conventional criteria, the difference is considered to be statistically significant. 4). <i>Observations made that were not directly related to the question(s).</i> (i.e. interrater reliability of the scoring tool was low) NA 5). How did the outcomes of the traditional and alternative format analysis compare? There is a statistically significant difference between the final exam scores of the dual credit and CUNE scores.
Sharing of Results: <i>When were results shared? Date:</i> June 1, 2022 <i>How were the results shared? (i.e. met as a department)</i> Email <i>Who were results shared with? (List names):</i> Charles Blanco, David Coe, Brian Gauthier, John Genter, Paul Holtorf, Mark Meehl, Russ Sommerfeld, and Paul Deterding
Discussion of Results –Summarize your conclusions including: 1. ACTION* - <i>How will what was learned from the assessment impact the alternative format teaching of this course starting the next academic year?</i> Two action steps will be implemented: 1) With the retirement of the dual credit instructor, the department will be in conversation with the new instructor regarding the goals of the assessment and consider a new assignment to be assessed for dual credit; 2) With the moving away of the final exam as a means of student assessment at CUNE, the department will discuss other assignments and review and revise the assessment questions as stated above in this document. 2. IMPACT* - <i>What is the anticipated impact of the ACTION* on student achievement of the learning outcome in the next academic year?</i> 1. A new set of assessment questions; 2. A new assignment identified. 3. BUDGET IMPLICATIONS – <i>Indicate budget requirements necessary for the successful implementation of the ACTION* (i.e. an additional staff person, new equipment, additional sections of a course).</i> None
Submitted by: Paul Holtorf Assessment Committee Reviewed (date): 6/14/22
Submitter notified approval/additional action needed: 6/14/22
BUDGET IMPLICATIONS – Assessment Committee Chair notified appropriate Dean: na