## 2022–23 Alternative Delivery Executive Summary

Submit to the BlackBoard Assessment Site.

Department: Dusiness Date: 0/12/02 Cou		
<b>Department:</b> Business <b>Date:</b> 6/13/23 <b>Cou</b>		Select
Alternative Format(s) – select as many as are		
Members (must include more than course instructor only) involved with analysis of artifacts: Tony Smith,		
Jeanette Sorensen, Todd Johnson, Andrew Langewisch		
See Alternative Delivery Assessment Plan for:		
a) Course requirement evaluation; b) Student Outcome; c) Question(s); e) Methodology		
Analysis of artifacts:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1). Student Outcome: PERFORMANCE CRITE		0
used). Students should be able to create basic financial statements. Students should be able to apply the		
framework and the concepts of the accounting process necessary to create the basic financial statements.		
Students should be able to make decisions using financial information common to business.		
2). COMPARABILITY – How did you determine if the outcomes of the traditional and alternative delivery modes		
were comparable? (note "na" if delivery modes were not compared). We will compare the % of the students that		
score above 70% on the final exam. There will not be a statistically significant difference in % between CUNE		
students and Dual Credit students that score ab	ove 70% on the final exam.	
Summary of RESULTS*:		
1). Restate the assessment question(s) (from th		
statements? Can students apply the framework		3
the basic financial statements? Can students m		
2). Summarize the assessment results. A narrat		
encouraged but optional. The dual credit class h		
for the comprehensive final exam is that at least		
comprehensive final exam. In the Dual Credit classes, 100% of the students scored 70% or higher on the comprehensive final exam. The 2 students that completed the final exam in the Dual Credit schools met the		
•	•	
requirements. For the Concordia University stud	•	
comprehensive final exam. This was a lower pe	arcentage than the previous year but still met the	e requirements.
Class Total Students	Students scoring 70% or above	Objective Met
DC1 2	2	100%
CUNE 84	59	70.2%
Totals 86	61	70.9%
3). INTERPRETATION* - Discuss how the resu	Its answer the assessment question(s).	
4). Observations made that were not directly related to the question(s). (i.e. interrater reliability of the scoring tool		
was low) The results show that the Dual Credit students overall met the objective. The CUNE students met the		
objective as 70.2% of the students were able to score 70% or higher on the comprehensive final exam. When		
comparing the results to last year, 76% of the C		
than the current year. There was a larger numb	per of freshman students taking the course this y	/ear as compared
to last year which may have had an impact on the		
5). How did the outcomes of the traditional a	· ·	e CUNE students
met the objective. The dual credit students met		
Sharing of Results: When were results shared	1	
1 ,	with? (List names): Andy Langewisch, Curt Bec	ck, Melissa Davis,
Erica Lamm, Tim Heidorn		
Discussion of Results –Summarize your con	-	
1. ACTION*- How will what was learned from th		
course starting the next academic year? After reviewing the specific results in areas that needed improvement		
from the 2022-2023 exam results for the CUNE students, I plan to spend more time covering topics using quizzes		
in the homework that is formatted with multiple choice questions. This will allow me to determine how difficult it		
is for students to read and interpret questions in this format. In the classroom, we spend a lot of time working on		
worksheets and using Excel to complete questions. The chapter exams are also done in this format. The final		
exam is the first time where the entire exam is done in a multiple choice format. Getting more reps using this		
format may have a positive impact with students especially international students taking the course where English		
is not their primary language.		

2. **IMPACT\*-** What is the anticipated impact of the **ACTION\*** on student achievement of the learning outcome in the next academic year? An increase in exam scores and a better understanding of how to complete problems

that are buried in a multiple choice question.

3. **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS** – Indicate budget requirements necessary for the successful implementation of the **ACTION**\* (i.e. an additional staff person, new equipment, additional sections of a course). None

Submitted by: Todd M. Johnson Assessment Committee Reviewed (date): 6/15/23

Submitter notified approval/additional action needed: Approved

BUDGET IMPLICATIONS - Assessment Committee Chair notified appropriate Dean: na